

In re	)	
	)	
ALDRICH PUMP, LLC, et al.,	)	
	)	
	)	<b>CHAPTER 11</b>
	)	<b>Case No. 23-00099</b>
Debtors.	)	<b>Appeal from the U.S.</b>
	)	<b>Bankruptcy Court for</b>
	)	<b>the Western District of</b>
	)	<b>North Carolina</b>
	)	
NON-PARTY CERTAIN MATCHING	)	
CLAIMANTS,	)	
Appellants,	)	
vs.	)	
	)	<b><u>ORDER</u></b>
ALDRICH PUMP LLC,	)	
	)	
Appellee.	)	
	)	

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), “any order or other decision, however designated, that adjudicates fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties” may be revisited by the court at any time before an entry of final judgment. FED. R. CIV. P. 54(b). Motions for reconsideration under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) are appropriately granted only in narrow circumstances: (1) the discovery of new evidence, (2) an intervening development or change in the controlling law, or (3) the need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice. Bullabough v. Watson, No. 3:11-CV-639-RJC, 2012 WL 664943, at \*1 (W.D.N.C. Feb. 29, 2012).


Here, Debtors have not identified any of the narrow circumstances appropriate for granting a motion to reconsider. Debtors have not argued that new evidence has been discovered, that there has been an intervening development or change in the law, or that there is a need to correct a clear error or prevent a manifest injustice. For the most part, Debtors simply rehash their previous argument in opposition to the motion to stay. The Court has already carefully considered the parties' arguments and found in favor of granting the stay. Debtors' current arguments have done nothing to persuade the Court otherwise.

**ORDER**

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that:**

(1) The Motion for Reconsideration, (Doc. No. 7), is **DENIED**.

Signed: June 9, 2023



Max O. Cogburn Jr.  
United States District Judge